

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/800,806		VON ARX ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN		2434	

All Participants:

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN *PTO personnel.*

(2) KAMBIZ ZAND *SPE PTO personnel.*

Date of Interview: 1 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434
/Benjamin A Kaplan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2434

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) MARK E. DEFFNER *Applicant's Representative.*

(4) _____.

Time: 6:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative and Examiner discussed the status of the case to expedite prosecution. Claim 59 stood apart as being unmodified and having issues that would arise from means for language. Claim 59 is being canceled by examiners amendment. Since there were no claims depending on claim 59 and the prosecution history is clear on all the other claims this has resulted in the allowance of the present application.